

Grievance Procedure Manual

**Office of Employment Dispute Resolution
Department of Human Resource Management
600 E Main Street, 17th Floor
Richmond, Virginia 23219**

**Toll Free 888-23-ADVICE
804-786-7994**

FAX 804-371-7401

**www.dhrm.virginia.gov/edr
[Email: EDR@dhrm.virginia.gov](mailto:EDR@dhrm.virginia.gov)**

Effective date: April 6, 2026

Grievance Procedure Manual

Table of Contents

1. Workplace Dispute Resolution/General Grievance Provisions	
§1.1	<i>General Information</i>
§1.2	<i>Informal Discussion with Management</i>
§1.3	<i>Mediation</i>
§1.4	<i>Grievance Procedure</i>
§1.5	<i>Retaliation Investigation</i>
§1.6	<i>Complaints of Discrimination</i>
§1.7.....	<i>Other Agency Resources</i>
§1.8.....	<i>Agency Notification Requirement</i>
§1.9.....	<i>Code of Civility</i>
2. Grievance Procedure Overview	
§2.1	<i>Stages of the Grievance Procedure</i>
§2.2	<i>Time Requirements for Filing a Grievance</i>
§2.3	<i>Access to the Grievance Procedure</i>
§2.4	<i>Initiating a Grievance</i>
§2.5	<i>Dismissal Grievances</i>
3. Management Resolution Steps	
§3.1	<i>First Resolution Step</i>
§3.2	<i>Second Resolution Step Meeting</i>
§3.3	<i>Third Resolution Step</i>
§3.4.....	<i>Expedited Process</i>
4. Qualification for a Hearing	
§4.1	<i>Qualifying Actions</i>
(a) <i>Actions Which AUTOMATICALLY Qualify</i>	
(b) <i>Actions Which MAY Qualify</i>	
(c) <i>Actions Which DO NOT Qualify</i>	
§4.2	<i>Agency Head's Determination</i>
§4.3	<i>Appeal to EDR</i>
5. The Hearing	
§5.1	<i>General</i>
§5.2	<i>Scheduling the Hearing</i>
§5.3	<i>Prehearing Conference</i>
§5.4	<i>Length of Hearing</i>
§5.5	<i>Absence from the Hearing</i>
§5.6	<i>Recording the Hearing</i>
§5.7	<i>Authority of the Hearing Officer</i>

§5.8*Rules for the Hearing*
 §5.9*Hearing Officer’s Decision*
 (a) *Examples of relief which may be available to the grievant*
 (b) *Examples of relief which are not available*
 §5.10*Exception for Hearings on Certain Qualified Grievances with the Departments of Corrections and Juvenile Justice*
 §5.11.....*Withdrawal of Grievance*

6. Noncompliance with the Grievance Procedure

§6.1*General*
 §6.2*Grievance Initiation Noncompliance*
 §6.3*Party Noncompliance*
 §6.4*Hearing Officer Noncompliance*

7. Review of Hearing Decisions

§7.1*General*
 §7.2*Administrative Review of Hearing Decisions*
 (a) *Requesting Administrative Review*
 (b) *Transcripts of the Hearing*
 (c) *Administrative Review Decisions*
 (d) *Final Hearing Decisions*
 (e) *Special Rules for Discharge Hearings Where the Hearing Officer Orders Reinstatement of an Employee Represented by an Attorney*
 §7.3*Judicial Review of Final Hearing Decisions*
 (a) *Circuit Court Review*
 (b) *Appeal to the Court of Appeals*
 (c) *Implementation*

8. Additional Grievance Procedure Rules

§8.1*Publication of Hearing Decisions, Rulings, and Related Court Opinions*
 §8.2*Documentation Relating to a Grievance*
 §8.3*Computation of Time*
 §8.4*Extension of Timeframes and Other Modifications*
 §8.5*Consolidation of Grievances*
 §8.6*Leave and Reimbursement*
 §8.7*Reasonable Accommodations for Disabled Persons*
 §8.8*Use of Agency Office Equipment*
 §8.9*Identifying Step Respondents*
 §8.10.....*Electronic Transmission of Grievance Materials*
 §8.11.....*Facilitation*

9. Definitions

§ 1 Workplace Dispute Resolution/General Grievance Provisions

NOTE: Words **bolded** appear in the definition section.

§ 1.1 General Information

Complaints arising in the workplace should be resolved fairly and promptly. In selecting a suitable alternative to resolve a workplace issue or concern, employees and agency management may obtain information and guidance from the Office of Employment Dispute Resolution (“EDR”) and the Human Resources Office at their agency. While EDR consultants do not provide legal advice, they can help to identify relevant policies and can discuss various options for addressing workplace concerns.

For specific questions about the grievance procedure and its requirements, it is advisable to contact EDR. EDR is located at the Department of Human Resource Management and can be reached by telephone at 804-786-7994 or 1-888-23-ADVICE (232-3842). Additional information is available on EDR’s website, www.dhrm.virginia.gov/edr. Agencies should contact their assigned counsel at the Office of the Attorney General with any legal questions.

Absent extraordinary circumstances, relying on erroneous grievance information provided by anyone other than EDR will NOT excuse noncompliance with this Manual or the Rules for Conducting Grievance Hearings, both of which are available on EDR’s website.

Many workplace issues can be resolved, even prevented, through effective interpersonal communication and problem-solving at the lowest possible level and earliest opportunity. Other options include the following:

§ 1.2 Informal Discussion with Management

It is the policy of the Commonwealth that an employee shall be able to discuss freely and without retaliation work-related concerns with their supervisor and/or other members of management in an attempt to resolve problems informally. *See* Va. Code § 2.2-3000(A). Prior to initiating a grievance, employees are encouraged to raise work-related concerns with their immediate supervisor. Even when such discussions are ongoing, however, a written grievance must be initiated within 30 calendar days of the date that the employee knew, or should have known, of the management action or inaction that formed the basis of the complaint. This 30-day requirement may be extended only if the parties agree. **TO BE ENFORCEABLE, SUCH AN AGREEMENT MUST BE IN WRITING.**

§ 1.3 Mediation

One alternative for resolving disputes is the statewide **mediation** program administered by EDR. Mediation is a voluntary process through which neutral third persons (mediators) assist people in conflict to explore their differences and develop their own solutions to these concerns. A decision to mediate a dispute does not prevent an employee from initiating a **grievance** later. Similarly, an agency cannot make the closure of an existing grievance a condition to participate in mediation. However, mediation is an

available option even in the course of a grievance. Where the parties have entered into an agreement to mediate, the time requirements of the grievance procedure may be extended by mutual agreement. TO BE ENFORCEABLE, THIS AGREEMENT MUST BE IN WRITING.

§ 1.4 Grievance Procedure

EDR administers the grievance procedure under which most workplace disputes can be grieved through levels of agency management. Agencies must designate, in writing, their management step respondents and submit the list to EDR for approval. Once the list is approved by EDR, the agency must make it readily accessible to all employees. If the employee disagrees with agency management's resolution of the grievance, the employee may seek to have the grievance resolved by an independent hearing officer. Only certain types of grievances, however, will qualify for such a hearing. The grievance procedure has strict rules that must be followed by all parties. State law prohibits **retaliation** against employees for using or participating in the grievance process.

§ 1.5 Retaliation Investigation

An employee who is covered by the grievance procedure may ask EDR to investigate allegations of retaliation as the result of the use of or participation in the grievance procedure or for reporting, in good faith, an allegation of fraud, waste or abuse to the State Employee Fraud, Waste and Abuse Hotline. Where EDR determines that the request merits further review, EDR's statutory authority is limited to investigating the complaint and advising the **agency head** of its findings. EDR is authorized to provide no other relief. An employee may not pursue both a retaliation investigation and a grievance on the same management action or omission alleged to be retaliatory.

§ 1.6 Complaints of Discrimination

The Department of Human Resource Management ("DHRM") may accept a complaint of discrimination for investigation due to a conflict of interest or as an appeal of an agency's investigation of a complaint of discrimination. An employee may not simultaneously challenge the same work-related action to DHRM (for investigation or appeal) and the grievance process. An employee may not utilize the grievance process to challenge DHRM's action on a complaint of discrimination.

Questions about formal complaints of discrimination can be addressed by calling DHRM at 804-225-2136 or 1-800-533-1414.

§ 1.7 Other Agency Resources

Other resources may be available for resolving workplace disputes. These resources may include: agency human resources or equal employment opportunity officers, ombuds offices, or the services of other agencies and organizations. However, for answers to questions regarding the grievance procedure, the appropriate source for information is EDR.

§ 1.8 Agency Requirements

By law, each agency in the executive branch is required to (1) familiarize employees with their grievance rights and promote the services of the Department of Human Resource Management, and (2) recognize the right of employees to fully participate in the grievance process without retaliation. Va. Code § 2.2-3000(B)(2), (6). In addition, each executive branch agency is required to ensure supervisory personnel are trained in the grievance procedure, personnel policies, and conflict resolution. Va. Code § 2.2-3000 (B)(1).

§ 1.9 Code of Conduct and Civility

Parties and party advocates shall treat all participants in the grievance process in a civil and courteous manner and with respect at all times and in all communications. Participants include grievants, witnesses, step respondents, party representatives, hearing officers and their staff, and EDR staff.

Parties and advocates shall not engage in conduct that offends the dignity and decorum of grievance proceedings, including, but not limited to, the second-step fact-finding meeting and the grievance hearing.

Parties and advocates shall not engage in conduct that undermines the integrity of the grievance process including, but not limited to, behavior that unnecessarily delays the process or unfairly prejudices the opposing party.

Parties and advocates shall comply with all rulings and orders issued by the Department of Human Resource Management, EDR, and hearing officers, unless a formal objection is raised and sustained.

Parties and advocates shall avoid *ex parte* (one-sided) communications with their appointed hearing officer on matters related to the hearing unless authorized by the hearing officer for simple procedural issues. Communications to the hearing officer should include a copy to the opposing party.

§ 1.10 Communications by Email

EDR's primary communication method with parties in grievances is by email. Parties are encouraged to communicate with one another by email, as well. *See* § 8.10, Electronic Transmission of Grievance Materials. Professional advocates, including attorneys, representing a party in a grievance that proceeds to a hearing or EDR ruling must provide an email address to EDR and any assigned hearing officer for proper and timely communication of grievance matters. Grievance-related communications from EDR will be distributed to the parties in addition to any representative(s) they have respectively identified to receive information pertaining to their grievance proceedings.

§ 2 Grievance Procedure Overview

NOTE: Words **bolded** appear in the definition section.

§ 2.1 Stages of the Grievance Procedure

The grievance procedure contains four phases:

1. Management Resolution Steps
 2. Qualification for Hearing
 3. Hearing
 4. Review of Hearing Decisions
-

§ 2.2 Time Requirements for Filing a Grievance

An employee's grievance must be presented to management **within 30 calendar days** of the date the employee knew or should have known of the management action or omission being grieved.¹ For purposes of timeliness, the grievance must be filed by 11:59 p.m. on the date due. Failure to timely initiate the grievance will typically result in the grievance being administratively closed and such failure will be excused only in extraordinary cases where just cause is found. If the 30th calendar day by which a grievance must be filed falls on a Saturday, Sunday, or legal holiday or on any day or part of a day on which the state office where the grievance is to be filed is closed during normal business hours, the grievance may be filed on the next business day that is not a Saturday, Sunday, legal holiday, or day on which the state office is closed.²

If this time requirement is not met, management may notify the employee, using the Grievance Form A, that the grievance will be administratively closed due to **noncompliance**. (See "Grievance Initiation Noncompliance," § 6.2). The agency must also notify the employee on the Grievance Form A that the employee has the right to request a compliance ruling from EDR to overturn the closing of the grievance. Any such ruling request must be made within five workdays of the notice of closure and be accompanied by a copy of the Grievance Record, complete with all attachments. (The original Grievance Record should be kept by the agency). The agency may raise the issue of timeliness at any point through the agency head's qualification decision.

The employee bears the burden of establishing the date that the grievance was initiated. Thus, employees are strongly encouraged to document the initiation date, for instance, by using email, certified mail, or requesting a date-stamped photocopy of the Grievance Form A. (Note: for purposes of establishing when an emailed or mailed grievance was initiated, the date/time of sending and/or postmark date is considered the initiation date).

The agency bears the burden of establishing the date the employee knew or should have known of the management action or omission being grieved if the agency contests the timeliness of the grievance on that basis. If the management action is sent to the grievant

¹ An employee who wishes to appeal a disciplinary action must file a grievance within 30 calendar days of receipt of the Written Notice.

² Cf. Va. Code § 1-210(E).

by regular mail, evidence of proper mailing presented by the agency will create a presumption that the action was received seven calendar days from the mailed date. The presumption may be rebutted by clear and convincing evidence of a different receipt date.

Note: An employee's time on extended sick or disability leave will not automatically constitute just cause to extend the time period for filing a grievance. An agency may properly allow such a leave period to extend the time period, but the employee should be so advised.

§ 2.3 Access to the Grievance Procedure

To access the grievance procedure, an employee must meet each of the following three criteria:

1. Must not be listed as exempt from the Virginia Personnel Act under Section 2.2-2905 of the Code of Virginia;³
2. Must have been a non-probationary employee of the Commonwealth at the time the management action or omission that formed the basis of the dispute occurred; and,
3. Must not have voluntarily concluded their employment with the Commonwealth prior to initiating the grievance.

In addition, the following state employees do not have access to the grievance procedure:⁴

- a) Wage (P-14) employees
- b) Administrative and Professional faculty

Agency management may deny an employee access to the grievance procedure on any of these grounds at any point following receipt of a written grievance. The agency's response must notify the employee of their procedural options.

The employee may appeal to EDR the agency's decision to deny access. This appeal must be made within five workdays of the employee's receipt of the agency's decision. To appeal to EDR, the employee must notify the agency's Human Resources Office of their intent to appeal, including a copy of the Grievance Form A, within five workdays of receiving notice that access to the grievance procedure has been denied. Within five workdays of receipt of the appeal request, the agency's Human Resources Office must forward a copy of the **Grievance Record**, complete with all **attachments**, to EDR. (The original **Grievance Record** should be kept by the agency). EDR's access ruling is final.

³ There are some Colleges and Universities that have restructured under various "Restructuring Acts." At these Colleges and Universities, certain employees, largely based on their date of hire, are now exempt from the Virginia Personnel Act. However, those employees still generally have access to the grievance procedure under the provisions of the applicable Restructuring Act and management agreement.

⁴ See, e.g., DHRM Policy 2.20, *Types of Employment*.

NOTE: All access rulings may be published on EDR’s website in a manner that seeks to preserve personal privacy. *See* “Publication of Hearing Decisions, Rulings, and Related Court Opinions,” § 8.1.

If the employee is ultimately determined to have access to the grievance procedure, the grievance is returned to the appropriate resolution step for a response on the merits.

§ 2.4 Initiating a Grievance

An employee must initiate a grievance on a fully completed “Grievance Form A.” The “Grievance Form A” should be available at all state Human Resources Offices and is also available on EDR’s website. The “Grievance Form A” must state the management action(s) or omission(s) being grieved, the facts in support of the grievance, and the relief requested. If there is not enough space on the “Grievance Form A” for a complete statement, attachments may be used. To initiate the grievance, the employee should submit the Grievance Form A and any attachments to the employee’s agency, generally to the appropriate management step respondent.

Once the grievance is initiated, challenges to additional management actions or omissions cannot be added.⁵ When initiating a grievance, and after initiation, an employee may request from the agency documents related to the actions or omissions grieved.⁶ Thus, additional evidence and information regarding the management actions or omissions challenged in the initial grievance can be added and considered during the management steps of the grievance process.

Assuming an employee has access to the grievance procedure, *any* management actions or omissions may be grieved, at least through the management steps, so long as the grievance:

1. Is presented to management within 30 calendar days of the date the employee knew or should have known of the management action or omission being grieved;⁷
2. Arises in the agency in which the employee works;
3. Pertains directly and personally to the employee’s own employment in a position with access to the grievance procedure;
4. Is not used to **harass** or otherwise impede the efficient operations of government;
5. Is not simultaneously pursued through another state process (for example, see “Complaints of Discrimination,” § 1.6);

⁵ To challenge a new management action or omission occurring after the initiation of a grievance, an employee would need to file a new grievance. For example, if an agency denies an employee a transfer for what they believe is an improper reason, the employee must initiate a grievance within 30 calendar days of the denial. If the employee is subsequently discharged, the grievant must nevertheless initiate a new grievance contesting the termination within 30 calendar days of the discharge, if the employee intends to challenge it.

⁶ *See* “Documentation Relating to a Grievance,” § 8.2.

⁷ *See* “Time Requirements for Filing a Grievance,” § 2.2.

6. Is not challenging the same management action or omission challenged by another grievance.
7. Is not challenging the agency's implementation of or failure to implement a hearing officer's decision (to raise these issues, an employee must petition the circuit court having jurisdiction in the locality in which the grievance arose for an order requiring implementation of the final decision of a hearing officer);⁸ and
8. Is not seeking relief from alleged agency noncompliance with the grievance procedure. (Noncompliance matters must be raised through the process established in "Noncompliance," § 6 below.)

If any of these requirements are not met, management may notify the employee, using the Grievance Form A, that the grievance will be administratively closed due to **noncompliance**.⁹ The agency must also notify the employee that they have the right to request a compliance ruling from EDR to overturn the closing of the grievance. Any such ruling request must be made within five workdays of the notice of closure and be accompanied by a copy of the **Grievance Record**, complete with all attachments. (The original **Grievance Record** should be kept by the agency). The agency may raise noncompliance at any point through the agency head's qualification decision.

To promote improved employee relations, management may allow a grievance to proceed through the resolution steps, even if the grievance does not comply with the above requirements. If the agency intends to allow the grievance to proceed through the management steps but plans to deny a hearing due to noncompliance, management should inform the employee of that intention as soon as it becomes aware of the noncompliance.

As a general rule, an employee must initiate a grievance with the **first-step respondent**, who is usually their immediate supervisor. However, under the following circumstances, the grievance is initiated with someone other than the immediate supervisor:

1. A grievance alleging discrimination, harassment, or retaliation by the immediate supervisor may be initiated with the next level supervisor;
2. A grievance challenging a selection process (hiring) may be initiated with the appropriate member of management who made the selection decision. If so initiated, the grievance will then proceed through the remaining grievance steps, to the extent applicable, in that member of management's reporting line.
3. A grievance involving a separation not considered a "dismissal" (*see* "Dismissal Grievances," § 2.5), demotion, suspension without pay, or any other action that results in an actual loss of wages may be initiated with the **second-step respondent**, as the single management step;¹⁰
4. In grievances involving formal discipline (**Written Notices**) issued by someone other than the employee's immediate supervisor, the employee may initiate the grievance with the person who issued the discipline; and

⁸ *See* "Judicial Review of Final Hearing Decisions," § 7.3(c).

⁹ *See* "Grievance Initiation Noncompliance," §6.2.

¹⁰ *See* "Expedited Process," § 3.4.

5. In agencies that have elected to utilize the Expedited Process for certain grievances, *see* “Expedited Process,” § 3.4, the grievance is initiated with the single management step respondent.
 6. Dismissal grievances are initiated directly with EDR.¹¹
-

§ 2.5 Dismissal Grievances

“Dismissals” are terminations due to formal discipline or unsatisfactory job performance. A grievance involving such a dismissal shall proceed directly to a formal hearing, omitting the management resolutions steps and the agency head’s qualification determination. Dismissal grievances shall be initiated directly with EDR by submitting a fully completed Grievance Form A – Dismissal Grievance.

The grievant’s former employing agency will be notified by EDR of receipt of the grievance by transmitting a copy of the materials received. EDR may request documents concerning the subject matter of the grievance from the agency to provide a sufficient appointment packet to the hearing officer. Within five workdays of receiving notice of the filing of the grievance, the agency must submit a fully completed Grievance Form B to EDR as well as anything in response to the grievance to be included with the appointment packet. A fully completed Form B includes the name of the advocate expected to represent the agency at the hearing (or a temporary advocate who can attend to prehearing matters on behalf of the agency until a permanent advocate is identified).

Before appointing a hearing officer, EDR shall review the grievance to determine whether the grievant has access to the grievance procedure and the grievance was initiated in a timely manner. Either party may also request a ruling from EDR to address questions of access and compliance. If the grievance meets these requirements and otherwise complies with the grievance procedure, EDR will proceed with the appointment of a hearing officer consistent with its practices in other cases. In appointing the hearing officer, EDR will determine whether the grievance qualifies for hearing in full or in part, based on the information provided by the parties.

§ 3 Management Resolution Steps

NOTE: Words **bolded** appear in the definition section.

§3.1 First Resolution Step

The first-step respondent must:

1. Accept the grievance;
2. Enter the date of receipt on the Grievance Form A; and,
3. Notify the agency’s Human Resources Office of the grievance.

After receiving the written grievance, the first-step respondent should identify the issues, gather information and review the facts. A meeting may be held to discuss the issues in dispute, but such a meeting is not required. Within five workdays of receiving the

¹¹ *See* “Dismissal Grievances,” § 2.5.

grievance, the first-step respondent must provide a written response on the Grievance Form A or an attachment. The response must address the issues and the relief requested and should notify the employee of their procedural options.

Within five workdays of receiving the first-step response, the employee must:

1. Indicate on the Grievance Form A their intention to continue to the second-step meeting and submit the form to the second-step respondent; or
2. Indicate on the Grievance Form A their intention to conclude the grievance and submit the form to the Human Resources Office.

Allegations of party non-compliance in connection with this section should be resolved in accordance with “Party Non-Compliance,” § 6.3.

§ 3.2 Second Resolution Step Meeting

The second-step respondent must:

1. Accept the grievance;
2. Enter the date of receipt on the Grievance Form A; and,
3. Advise the agency’s Human Resources Office that the grievance has advanced.

Within five workdays of the second-step respondent’s receipt of the grievance, the second-step meeting must be held.¹² The persons who may be present at this meeting are the employee, an individual selected by the employee, the second-step respondent, and an individual selected by the second-step respondent. Both the agency and the grievant should make the other party aware of who their selected individual will be as soon as they have designated an individual.

The role of the selected individual(s) is essentially one of supporter and counselor. The selected individual is not entitled to be an active participant in the second-step meeting, although an agency is certainly free to allow such participation if it chooses. Unless permitted by the agency, the selected individual may not directly ask questions of the witnesses, make opening or closing arguments, answer questions on behalf of a grievant, or in any other way directly participate in the meeting. If the second-step respondent allows their own selected individual to participate, the grievant’s selected individual may also participate in the meeting to the same extent allowed for the respondent’s selected individual.

For a party’s right to be accompanied by a person of their choice to be meaningful, the selected individual cannot be required to act merely as a silent observer. Rather, the individual selected by the party must be allowed the opportunity to interact with the party during the second-step meeting, provided the interaction is not unduly disruptive or disrespectful of others present. Examples of appropriate interaction include conferring quietly or exchanging notes.

¹² The second-step meeting may be scheduled for a date beyond the five-workday period if both parties agree. *See* § 8.4 below.

Either party may call witnesses who may be knowledgeable about the issues raised in the grievance. These witnesses must not be present except while providing information.

The purpose of the second-step meeting is fact-finding and should include open discussion of the grievance issues to promote understanding of the other party's position and possible resolution of the workplace issues. Accordingly, the parties are encouraged to present information relevant to the grievance at this meeting. While the parties may question one another regarding disputed facts and issues, the meeting should not be adversarial or treated as a hearing. The second-step respondent is charged with presiding over the meeting and must do so in an even-handed manner. Thus, for example, while the second-step respondent could limit the introduction of repetitive information, they should not prohibit an employee from disclosing relevant information not previously provided. The meeting must not be recorded unless one of the parties has a disability that would be accommodated by recording the meeting, or if both parties mutually agree to recording the meeting (such an agreement must be in writing). If recorded, the other party may request a copy, provided that duplication expenses are paid by the requesting party.

For more information about the second-step meeting, see *Grievance FAQs*, available at EDR's website.

Within five workdays of the second-step meeting, the second-step respondent must provide a written response on the Grievance Form A or an attachment. The response must address the issues and the relief requested and should notify the employee of their procedural options.

Within five workdays of receiving the second-step response, the employee must:

1. Indicate on the Grievance Form A their intention to continue to the third step and submit the form to the **third-step respondent**; or
2. Indicate on the Grievance Form A their intention to conclude the grievance and submit the form to the Human Resources Office.

In the event that an employee alleges retaliation, harassment, or discrimination by an individual who would otherwise serve as the agency's second-step respondent, the employee may:

1. Request that the agency designate another second-step respondent; or
2. Waive the face-to-face meeting with the original second-step respondent and receive only a written second-step response to the grievance. If the employee elects to waive the face-to-face meeting with the original second-step respondent, the employee must be allowed to meet with the third-step respondent.

Allegations of party non-compliance in connection with this section should be resolved in accordance with "Party Non-Compliance," § 6.3.

§ 3.3 Third Resolution Step

The third-step respondent must:

1. Accept the grievance;
2. Enter the date of receipt on the Grievance Form A; and,
3. Advise the agency's Human Resources Office that the grievance has advanced.

A meeting may be held to discuss the issues in dispute, but such a meeting is not required. Within five workdays of receiving the grievance, the third-step respondent must provide a written response on the Grievance Form A or an attachment. The response must address the issues and the relief requested and should notify the employee of their procedural options.

Within five workdays of receiving the third-step response, the employee must:

1. Request on the Grievance Form A that their grievance be qualified for a hearing* and submit the form to the agency head; or
2. Indicate on the Grievance Form A their intention to conclude the grievance and submit the form to the Human Resources Office.

Allegations of party non-compliance in connection with this section should be resolved in accordance with "Party Non-Compliance," § 6.3.

* NOTE: If the grievance is qualified for a hearing, the hearing decision will be published on EDR's website in a manner that seeks to preserve personal privacy. *See* "Publication of Hearing Decisions, Rulings, and Related Court Opinions," § 8.1.

§ 3.4 Expedited Process

If a grievance involves a separation not considered a "dismissal" (*see* "Dismissal Grievances," § 2.5), demotion, suspension without pay, or any other action that results in an actual loss of wages, the grievant may elect to initiate an expedited grievance, using the Grievance Form A – Expedited Process. In addition, if both the agency and the grievant agree, the expedited process may be utilized in any other grievance that would not otherwise be eligible for the expedited process. In designating its step respondents, an agency may also elect to utilize the expedited process for all or certain categories of grievances.¹³

The Expedited Process involves a single management step. The procedures that normally apply to the second resolution step¹⁴ apply to the single management step in an expedited grievance. To initiate an expedited grievance, the employee should submit the completed Grievance Form A – Expedited Process to the second-step respondent, or, for agencies who utilize the expedited process for all or certain categories of grievances, the single designated management step respondent.

Within five workdays of receiving the written response, the employee must:

¹³ *See* "Grievance Procedure," § 1.4; "Identifying Step Respondents," § 8.9.

¹⁴ *See* "Second Resolution Step Meeting," § 3.2.

1. Request on the Grievance Form A that the grievance be qualified for a hearing* and submit the form to the agency head; or
2. Indicate on the Grievance Form A their intention to conclude the grievance and submit the form to the Human Resources Office.

* NOTE: If the grievance is qualified for a hearing, the hearing decision will be published on EDR's website in a manner that seeks to preserve personal privacy. *See* "Publication of Hearing Decisions, Rulings, and Related Court Opinions," § 8.1.

In the event that an employee alleges retaliation, harassment, or discrimination by an individual who would otherwise serve as the agency's single management step respondent, the employee may:

1. Request that the agency designate another single management step respondent; or
 2. Waive the face-to-face meeting with the original single management step respondent, and be allowed to meet with the person designated as the agency's third-step respondent or alternate single management step respondent. The substitute/alternate will then serve in the role of the single management step respondent.
-

§ 4 Qualification for a Hearing

NOTE: Words **bolded** appear in the definition section.

§ 4.1 Qualifying Actions

Not all grievances proceed to a hearing. Only grievances that challenge certain actions qualify for a hearing.

§ 4.1(a) Actions Which AUTOMATICALLY Qualify

1. Formal discipline (a Written Notice);
2. Dismissal for unsatisfactory performance.

§4.1(b) Actions Which May Qualify

The grievance should qualify for a hearing if (i) it claims, and (ii) the facts, taken as a whole, raise a sufficient question as to whether an **adverse employment action** has occurred as a result of one or more of the following:

1. Unfair application or misapplication of state or agency personnel policies, procedures, rules, or regulations;
2. Discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion,¹⁵ political affiliation, age, disability, ethnic or national origin, sex, pregnancy, childbirth or related medical conditions, marital status, sexual orientation, gender identity, or military status;¹⁶

¹⁵ Religion includes any outward expression of religious faith, including adherence to religious dressing and grooming practices and the carrying or display of religious items or symbols. Va. Code § 2.2-3004(F).

¹⁶ While these are the enumerated bases listed in the Code of Virginia, a grievance raising a sufficient question as to any additional basis of discrimination could qualify for a hearing to the extent such basis is prohibited by another state law, Governor's Executive Order, or state policy on equal employment.

3. **Arbitrary or capricious** performance evaluation;
4. Retaliation for participating in the grievance process, complying with any law or reporting a violation of such law to a governmental authority, seeking to change any law before Congress or the General Assembly, reporting an incidence of fraud, abuse, or gross mismanagement, or exercising any right otherwise protected by law; or
5. Informal disciplinary actions – for example, terminations, transfers, assignments, demotions, and suspensions – that are not accompanied by formal discipline (a Written Notice) but which are taken primarily for disciplinary reasons.

§ 4.1(c) Actions Which DO NOT Qualify

Claims that relate solely to the following issues do not qualify for a hearing:

1. Establishment or revision of wages, salaries, position classifications, or general benefits;
2. Contents of statutes, ordinances, personnel policies, procedures, rules, and regulations;
3. Means, methods, and personnel by which work activities are undertaken;
4. Hiring, promotion, transfer, assignment, and retention of employees;
5. Termination, layoff, demotion, or suspension from duties because of lack of work, reduction in workforce, or job abolition;
6. Work activity accepted by an employee as a condition of employment or which reasonably may be expected to be a part of the content of the job;
7. Relief of employees from duties in emergencies; or
8. Informal supervisory actions – for example, interim evaluations, counseling memoranda (including “Formal (Written) Counseling” under DHRM Policy 1.60, *Standards of Conduct*), and oral reprimands.

The fact that the claim challenges an action under this section does not preclude it from qualifying if it would otherwise qualify under § 4.1(b).

§ 4.2 Agency Head’s Determination

Within five workdays of receiving the employee’s hearing request, the agency head must determine whether the grievance qualifies for a hearing. The agency head must provide a written response on the Grievance Form A or an attachment. The response should also notify the employee of their procedural options. Because this is the last opportunity to resolve the grievance within the agency, the agency head may address the issues and the relief requested by the employee.

If the agency head qualifies the grievance for hearing in full, the agency’s Human Resources Office must request the appointment of a hearing officer using the “Form B,” within five workdays of the qualification decision. The Grievance Form A need not be returned to the grievant for further response. For hearing scheduling purposes, the agency must provide on the “Form B” the contact information of its party representative and party advocate.

If the agency head does not qualify the grievance for a hearing or partially qualifies the grievance for a hearing, the written response and Grievance Form A must be returned to the grievant. In partial qualification cases, the agency need not request the appointment of

a hearing officer using the “Form B” until a response from the grievant is received to determine whether the grievant will appeal the partial qualification¹⁷ or choose to proceed to hearing on those management actions or inactions qualified. The grievant must provide such a response within five workdays of receiving the agency head’s qualification decision.

§ 4.3 Appeal to EDR

If the agency head does not qualify the grievance for a hearing, the employee may appeal to EDR. EDR’s qualification ruling is final.

If the employee appeals to EDR, the employee must submit the Grievance Form A to the agency’s Human Resources Office within five workdays of receiving the agency head’s qualification decision. Within five workdays of receipt of the appeal request, the agency’s Human Resources Office must forward a copy of the **Grievance Record**, complete with all attachments, to EDR. (The original **Grievance Record** should be kept by the agency.)

If the employee does not submit the Grievance Form A to the agency within five workdays of receiving the agency head’s qualification decision denying a hearing, the agency should provide the employee with a notice of noncompliance. *See* § 6.3, “Party Noncompliance.” If the employee does not submit the Grievance Form A to appeal the agency head’s denial of qualification or conclude the grievance within five workdays of receiving the notice of noncompliance, the agency may consider the grievance as concluded. If the employee later seeks to appeal the agency head’s denial of qualification, EDR will consider whether just cause exists to consider the employee’s request for a qualification ruling.

If the agency head qualifies some but not all the grieved issues, the employee may ask EDR to qualify any remaining unqualified issues. A request to EDR for qualification of any such issues temporarily stops the grievance process until EDR issues its ruling.

A hearing officer is not bound by factual determinations in EDR qualification rulings. The hearing officer must determine the facts based on the evidence admitted and testimony of witnesses presented under oath at the hearing.

NOTE: EDR rulings on qualification will be published on EDR’s website in a manner that seeks to preserve personal privacy. *See* “Publication of Hearing Decisions, Rulings, and Related Court Opinions,” § 8.1.

¹⁷ *See* “Appeal to EDR,” § 4.3.

§ 5 The Hearing

NOTE: Words **bolded** appear in the definition section.

§ 5.1 General

Most qualified grievances proceed to a hearing before a hearing officer appointed by EDR.* Within five workdays of the qualification of the grievance, the agency must request the appointment of a hearing officer from EDR, using the Grievance Form B. For hearing scheduling purposes, the agency must provide on the Form B the contact information of its party representative and advocate. With the Form B, the agency must also provide a copy of the **Grievance Record**¹⁸ and, in cases involving formal discipline, a copy of the Written Notice(s) at issue. See also *Rules for Conducting Grievance Hearings*, available on EDR's website, which addresses in more detail the hearing officer's duties and authority under the grievance procedure. The hearing officer, advocates, and parties must comply with the *Rules for Conducting Grievance Hearings*, as well as the provisions of this *Manual*.

Primary communication during the hearing stage is encouraged to be by email and phone. If a party does not have access to email, appropriate alternative methods of communication shall be utilized by the hearing officer and EDR to communicate with the party. For required email communications by and with professional advocates, see "Communications by Email," § 1.10.

*Certain qualified grievances with the Department of Corrections or the Department of Juvenile Justice, are heard by a circuit court. See "Exception for Hearings on Certain Qualified Grievances with the Departments of Corrections and Juvenile Justice," § 5.10.

§ 5.2 Scheduling the Hearing

It is the responsibility of the hearing officer to notify the parties in writing of the date, time, and place of the hearing.

The hearing must be held in the locality where the employee is or has been employed unless the parties and hearing officer mutually agree to another site. The agency must arrange a place for the hearing unless the hearing officer chooses to make the arrangements. A hearing may also be held by audio/video conference, or other equivalent electronic/remote means. In selecting the format of the hearing, the hearing officer must weigh all relevant considerations, including the opinions of the parties and the hearing officer, as well as reasonable accommodations for any participating disabled persons. The hearing officer shall not select a hearing format that unfairly prejudices either party. See "Guidance for Conducting Hearings by Remote Means," available at EDR's website.

¹⁸ If the **Grievance Record** is voluminous, the Hearings Program Director should be contacted to determine the pertinent records to submit with the Form B.

§ 5.3 Prehearing Conference

A prehearing conference is required to be held. This conference must be conducted by telephone or other equivalent means. This conference provides an opportunity to discuss the scheduling of the hearing and address procedural and evidentiary issues. If a party desires to have a particular individual testify at hearing, or to have a particular document produced prior to hearing, the party may request the hearing officer to order the appearance of the individual, or the production of the document. The agency shall make available for hearing any employee ordered by the hearing officer to appear as a witness.¹⁹

§ 5.4 Length of Hearing

A hearing is to last no more than one day, unless the hearing officer determines that the time is insufficient for a full and fair presentation of the evidence by both sides. The hearing officer may establish reasonable limits on the length of the parties' presentations.

§ 5.5 Absence from the Hearing

The parties must appear at the hearing or request a postponement for good cause. The hearing officer has the discretion to grant or deny a request for a postponement.

At the hearing officer's discretion, a hearing may proceed in the absence of one of the parties; a hearing so conducted will be decided on the record and the evidence presented at the hearing.

§ 5.6 Recording the Hearing

The hearing must be recorded **verbatim** to create an audio record should there be an administrative or judicial review of the hearing decision. Hearing officers will provide their own audio recording equipment. It is the hearing officer's responsibility to record the hearing and to provide a clear digital audio record to EDR after issuing the hearing decision. No other hearing participant may capture audio recording of the hearing proceedings without the express consent of the hearing officer and parties.

Neither the hearing officer nor any other hearing participant shall capture video recording of the hearing proceedings without the express consent of all participants.

Either party may receive a copy of the audio recording, if requested, for the cost of reproduction. A court reporter is not required. If a party seeks to have a court reporter, that party is responsible for the arrangements and costs, including the cost of any transcript created by the court reporter.

¹⁹ See *Rules for Conducting Grievance Hearings* § V(B) for a hearing officer's authority to take an adverse inference against an agency for failing to make an agency employee available for the hearing.

§ 5.7 Authority of the Hearing Officer

A hearing officer's authority derives from Virginia Code §§ 2.2-3000 through -3008, this *Manual*, and the *Rules for Conducting Grievance Hearings*. Hearing officers have the authority to:

1. Hold a prehearing conference;
 2. Require the parties to exchange a list of witnesses and documents;
 3. Issue orders for the appearance of witnesses at hearing and the production of documents;
 4. Decide whether non-parties may attend the hearing (however, a representative of EDR may attend any hearing);
 5. Record the hearing **verbatim**;
 6. Administer oaths;
 7. Admit evidence and exclude evidence, including but not limited to evidence in mitigation or aggravation of any offense charged by the agency,
 8. Accept offers of proof of excluded evidence;
 9. Rule on procedural requests;
 10. Render written decisions on qualified grievances and provide appropriate relief; and
 11. Take other actions as necessary or specified in the grievance statutes, this *Manual*, the *Rules for Conducting Grievance Hearings*, or applicable administrative rulings issued by EDR.
-

§ 5.8 Rules for the Hearing

Hearing officers are bound by the provisions of Virginia Code §§ 2.2-3000 through -3008, the *Rules for Conducting Grievance Hearings*, and this *Manual*.

Hearings are to proceed as follows:

1. Parties may represent themselves or may be represented by an individual of choice; this advocate does not have to be an attorney;²⁰
 2. In disciplinary actions and dismissals for unsatisfactory performance, the agency must present its evidence first and must show by a **preponderance of the evidence** that the action was warranted and appropriate under the circumstances. *The employee has the burden of raising and establishing any affirmative defenses to discipline and any evidence of mitigating circumstances related to discipline*;
 3. In all other actions, the employee must present their evidence first and must prove their claim by a preponderance of the evidence;
 4. Each party may make opening and closing statements;
 5. Formal rules of evidence do not apply;
 6. Testimony and exhibits may be admitted into evidence and made part of the record;
 7. Non-party witnesses are not to be present at the hearing except to give testimony and be **cross-examined**; and
 8. The hearing is closed to the public.
-

²⁰ An agency may choose to be represented by a state employee, whether employed by the agency or not. Such a representative may be a bar-licensed attorney. *See* EDR Ruling No. 2023-5541.

§ 5.9 Hearing Officer's Decision

A hearing officer's decision must be in writing.* The decision must contain findings of fact on the material issues and the grounds in the record for those findings. A copy of the decision must be provided to the grievant, the parties' advocates, the individuals identified on the Form B, and EDR. The hearing officer should send the decision by email. If a party does not have access to email, the hearing decision must be sent by mail.

Hearing officers may order appropriate remedies but may not grant relief that is inconsistent with law, policy, or the grievance procedure. In granting relief, the hearing officer should consider the relief requested in the written grievance.

In hearings contesting formal discipline, if the hearing officer finds that (i) the employee engaged in the behavior described in the Written Notice, (ii) the behavior constituted misconduct, and (iii) the agency's discipline was consistent with law and policy, the agency's discipline must be upheld and may not be mitigated, unless under the record evidence, the agency's discipline exceeds the limits of reasonableness. *See also Rules for Conducting Grievance Hearings.*

*NOTE: Hearing decisions will be published on EDR's website in a manner that seeks to preserve personal privacy. *See* "Publication of Hearing Decisions, Rulings, and Related Court Opinions," § 8.1.

§ 5.9(a) Examples of relief which may be available to the grievant

Relief may include, but is not limited to:

1. Reinstatement to the employee's former position or, if occupied, to an equivalent position;
2. Reduction or rescission of disciplinary actions;
3. An award of full, partial, or no **back pay**, from which **interim earnings** must be deducted;
4. The restoration of full benefits and seniority;
5. An order that the agency comply with applicable law and policy, and,
6. Attorneys' fees in discharge grievance hearings where the hearing officer orders reinstatement and the employee is represented by an attorney, unless special circumstances would make an award unjust. *See* "Special Rules for Discharge Hearings," § 7.2(e).

§ 5.9(b) Examples of relief which are not available

The following forms of relief may not be ordered by a hearing officer:

1. Damages;
2. Attorneys' fees in grievance hearings not challenging discharge;
3. Establishing or revising policies, procedures, rules, or regulations;
4. Taking any adverse action against an employee (other than upholding or reducing the disciplinary action challenged by the grievance);
5. Directing the methods, means, or personnel by which work activities are to be carried out; or,

6. Any other relief that is inconsistent with the grievance statutes, this *Manual*, the *Rules for Conducting Grievance Hearings*, or state policy.

§ 5.10 Exception for Hearings on Certain Qualified Grievances with the Departments of Corrections and Juvenile Justice

Pursuant to Va. Code § 2.2-3007, employees of the Departments of Corrections or Juvenile Justice whose employment was terminated for (i) client, inmate, or resident abuse, (ii) a criminal conviction, or (iii) being placed on court probation under the provisions of Va. Code § 18.2-251 may file a dismissal grievance directly with EDR, omitting the grievance resolution steps. Upon receipt of a dismissal grievance that is determined to be subject to this section, EDR will review the submission to determine whether the grievance is timely and otherwise complies with the grievance procedure. See, for example, above §§ 2.2-2.5.

As provided in Va. Code § 2.2-3007, dismissal grievances to which this section applies do not proceed to a formal hearing appointed by EDR pursuant to Va. Code § 2.2-3005, but rather proceed to a de novo hearing on the merits of the termination before the circuit court in the jurisdiction in which the employee had been employed. If the grievance is properly filed and meets the compliance requirements, EDR will return the original grievance paperwork to the grievant with instructions to petition for a hearing in the appropriate circuit court.

Prior to returning the grievance paperwork, as described in the previous paragraph, either party may seek documentation relating to the management actions or omissions grieved pursuant to § 8.2, “Documentation Relating to a Grievance.” Such requests should be submitted to EDR for a compliance ruling. Requests must be received by EDR within 10 workdays of EDR’s receipt of the grievance. EDR will issue a compliance ruling that will address whether requested documents are required to be produced based on input from both parties as to such issues as relevance, need, and any restrictions or prohibitions on release of such information. Depending on the nature of any documentation disputes, EDR may defer consideration of such matters to the appropriate circuit court once the grievance proceeds to that level.

In its discretion, the court may refer the matter to a lawyer who serves as a commissioner in chancery to take such evidence as may be proper and to make a report to the court. Parties may represent themselves or be represented by an individual of choice; this representative does not have to be an attorney. Such representatives may examine, cross-examine, question, and present evidence before the court. The court, sitting without a jury, must hear the evidence. The agency’s action is to be upheld unless shown to have been unwarranted by the facts or contrary to law or policy. The decision of the court may be appealed by either party to the Court of Appeals pursuant to Va. Code § 17.1-405.

In the event that the appropriate circuit court declines jurisdiction to hear a grievance to which this section applies, EDR will entertain a request to administer a grievance hearing pursuant to standard procedures under Va. Code § 2.2-3005.

NOTE: Court decisions may be published in full on EDR’s website. *See* “Publication of Hearing Decisions, Rulings, and Related Court Opinions,” § 8.1.

§ 5.11 *Withdrawal of Grievance*

When an employee withdraws the grievance prior to the issuance of a hearing decision, or when the parties agree to a settlement of the grievance, the employee must submit to the hearing officer a statement clearly stating that they are withdrawing the grievance. Such a withdrawal statement terminates the grievance process. It is not necessary for the parties to send a copy of any settlement agreement to the hearing officer. The hearing officer shall issue an Order of Dismissal solely to document that the employee has withdrawn the grievance and that the grievance has been dismissed.

§ 6 Noncompliance with the Grievance Procedure

NOTE: Words **bolded** appear in the definition section.

§ 6.1 *General*

From the time that a grievance is initiated until the hearing decision becomes final, a party or a hearing officer may fail to comply with a provision of the grievance procedure. A party may challenge such noncompliance to EDR, which is authorized to issue final, nonappealable rulings on compliance challenges.

A challenge accepted by EDR for ruling will normally stop the grievance process temporarily. The grievance process will resume when EDR issues its ruling on the challenge.

NOTE: EDR rulings on compliance will be published on EDR’s website in a manner that seeks to preserve personal privacy. *See* “Publication of Hearing Decisions, Rulings, and Related Court Opinions,” § 8.1.

§ 6.2 *Grievance Initiation Noncompliance*

The employee’s Grievance Form A must comply with the requirements for initiating a grievance. *See* “Time Requirements for Filing a Grievance,” § 2.2 and “Initiating a Grievance,” § 2.4.

If the Grievance Form A does not comply:

1. Management may notify the employee, using the Grievance Form A, that the grievance will be administratively closed due to noncompliance and that the employee may seek a compliance ruling from EDR.
 2. The employee may request, within five workdays of receiving notice that the grievance will be closed, that EDR decide whether the grievance is in compliance and can proceed. Any such ruling request must be accompanied by a copy of the **Grievance Record**, complete with all attachments. (The original **Grievance Record** should be kept by the agency).
-

§ 6.3 Party Noncompliance

Parties must comply with the requirements of the grievance procedure. All claims of noncompliance should be raised immediately. By proceeding with the grievance after becoming aware of a procedural violation, one generally forfeits the right to challenge the noncompliance at a later time. To remedy noncompliance, a party must:

1. Notify the other party in writing of the noncompliance²¹ (if the agency is out of compliance, written notice of noncompliance must be made to the agency head or designee²²);
2. Allow the other party five workdays after receipt of the noncompliance notice to correct the noncompliance.

If the noncompliance is corrected within the five workdays, the party is considered in compliance and no relief will be available from EDR. If the noncompliance is not corrected within the five workdays, the party may request a ruling from EDR (providing the other party with a copy of that request); the request must identify the specific requirement of the grievance procedure that has not been followed. If EDR finds that a party has failed to correct the noncompliance within the five workdays, EDR may (i) order the party to correct the noncompliance, or (ii) where a substantial **procedural requirement** of the grievance procedure was violated without just cause, render a decision against the noncomplying party on any qualifiable issue.

Once a grievance has been qualified for hearing, any claims of party noncompliance occurring during the hearing phase should be raised in writing with the hearing officer appointed to hear the grievance. If a party disagrees with a hearing officer's decision or order on a matter of compliance, an objection should be made to the hearing officer, and a ruling from EDR must be requested in writing and **received by EDR** within 15 calendar days of the date of the hearing decision.

§ 6.4 Hearing Officer Noncompliance

In presiding over the hearing process and in rendering hearing decisions, hearing officers must comply with the requirements of the grievance statutes, this *Manual*, and the *Rules for Conducting Grievance Hearings* promulgated by EDR. If the hearing decision is out of compliance, a party may challenge the decision to EDR.²³ If the noncompliance arises in pre-hearing matters or in the conduct of the hearing, the hearing officer's noncompliance may be remedied as follows:

1. An objection should be made to the hearing officer at the time the noncompliance occurs;
2. A ruling from EDR must be requested in writing and **received by EDR** within 15 calendar days of the date of the hearing decision; and

²¹ The written notice of noncompliance may be sent by any means, including regular mail, facsimile, and/or email. There is no requirement that such communications be sent by certified mail. For instance, the mailing of correspondence, properly addressed and stamped, raises a presumption of receipt of the correspondence by the addressee. *E.g.*, *Washington v. Anderson*, 236 Va. 316, 322, 373 S.E.2d 712, 715 (1988).

²² *See* Va. Code § 2.2-604. If the agency head has delegated this authority to a designee, EDR must be notified of the delegation.

²³ *See* "Administrative Review of Hearing Decisions," § 7.2.

3. If EDR finds that the hearing officer has failed to comply with the grievance procedure, the sole remedy is an order by EDR that the hearing officer correct the noncompliance.

§ 7 Review of Hearing Decisions

NOTE: Words **bolded** appear in the definition section.

§ 7.1 General

A hearing decision must be consistent with law, policy, and the grievance procedure (including this *Manual* and the *Rules for Conducting Grievance Hearings*). A hearing decision is subject to administrative and judicial review. Once the administrative review phase has concluded, the hearing decision becomes final and is subject to judicial review.

§ 7.2 Administrative Review of Hearing Decisions

A hearing officer's decision is subject to administrative review (appeal) by EDR at a party's request. Requests for review may be initiated by electronic means such as email. *See* § 8.10. However, as with all aspects of the grievance procedure, a party may be required to show proof of timeliness. Therefore, parties are strongly encouraged to retain evidence of timeliness. A copy of all requests for administrative review must be provided to the other party, EDR, and the hearing officer.

§ 7.2(a) Requesting Administrative Review

Requests for administrative review must be in writing and **received by** EDR within 15 calendar days of the date of the original hearing decision. **Received by** means delivered to, not merely postmarked or placed in the hands of a delivery service. If the 15th calendar day by which a request for administrative review must be filed falls on a Saturday, Sunday, or legal holiday or on any day or part of a day on which the state office where the request for administrative review is to be filed is closed during normal business hours, the appeal may be filed on the next business day that is not a Saturday, Sunday, legal holiday, or day on which the state office is closed.²⁴

A challenge that the hearing decision is inconsistent with state or agency policy must refer to a particular mandate in state or agency policy with which the hearing decision is not in compliance.²⁵ A challenge that the hearing decision is not in compliance with the grievance procedure (including this *Manual* and the *Rules for Conducting Grievance Hearings*),²⁶ as well as a request to present newly discovered evidence, must refer to a

²⁴ *See, e.g.*, EDR Ruling No. 2013-3541; *cf.* Va. Code § 1-210(E).

²⁵ Section 2.2-3006(A) of the Code of Virginia provides: “[u]pon the request of a party to a grievance hearing for an administrative review of the hearing decision, the Director of [DHRM] shall determine ... whether the hearing decision is consistent with policy.” Parties should direct such requests for review to EDR pursuant to this section of the *Manual* and/or to the Director of DHRM.

²⁶ While EDR does not have authority to address questions of law with finality, the grievance procedure requires that the hearing decision comply with law. *See, e.g.*, § 7.1. Accordingly, a hearing decision that does not comply with law also does not comply with the grievance procedure, which is within EDR's

specific requirement of the grievance procedure with which the hearing decision is not in compliance.

EDR's authority is limited to ordering the hearing officer to revise the decision so that it complies with written policy and the grievance procedure.

In response to any request for administrative review, the opposing party may submit a written challenge (rebuttal) to EDR. If the opposing party chooses to submit a rebuttal, it must be **received by** EDR within 10 calendar days of the conclusion of the original 15-day appeal period. A copy of any such rebuttal must also be provided to the appealing party and the hearing officer.

All grounds for appeal must be received by EDR within 15 calendar days of the date of the original hearing decision, as described above. Should a party wish to supplement their original appeal submission with further explanation of the grounds for appeal and/or specific citations to the available record, EDR will accept a supplemental brief within 10 calendar days of the original appeal submission. New grounds for appeal not included in the initial request for administrative review will not be considered. If the opposing party chooses to submit a rebuttal to an appealing party's supplemental brief, it must be received by EDR within 10 calendar days of the submission of the appealing party's brief.

NOTE: All administrative review rulings or decisions may be published on EDR's website in a manner that seeks to preserve personal privacy. *See* "Publication of Hearing Decisions, Rulings, and Related Court Opinions," § 8.1.

§ 7.2(b) Transcripts of the Hearing

A party may elect to produce a transcript to support its position on appeal. The party that elects to have a transcript produced is responsible for the costs of producing the transcript. *See* "Recording the Hearing," § 5.6.

1. If the employee elects to produce a transcript, the agency may obtain a copy directly from the entity producing the transcript at the agency's expense.
2. If the agency elects to produce a transcript, the employee must be allowed, upon request, reasonable access to the agency's copy of the transcript in order to respond to the agency's case. The employee may also obtain a copy of the transcript from the entity producing the transcript at the employee's expense.

§ 7.2(c) Administrative Review Decisions

Administrative review decisions are final and nonappealable. Administrative reviews should be issued within 30 calendar days of EDR's receipt of all briefing submissions.

authority to address. However, there is no requirement that a party raise such a legal question with EDR prior to proceeding to a Circuit Court Review. *See* § 7.3(a). The courts have ultimate authority to determine questions of law.

If the hearing officer is ordered to reconsider the hearing decision, the hearing officer must do so. Both parties will have the opportunity to request administrative review of a hearing officer's reconsidered decision on any *new matter* addressed in the reconsideration decision (i.e., any matters not previously part of the original decision). Any such requests must be **received** by EDR **within 15 calendar days** of the date of the issuance of the reconsideration decision. The same appeal provisions in Section 7.2(a) apply to requests for administrative review of a hearing officer's reconsideration decision.

§ 7.2(d) Final Hearing Decisions

A hearing officer's original decision becomes a final hearing decision, with no further possibility of administrative review, when:

1. The 15-calendar-day period for filing requests for administrative review has expired and neither party has filed such a request; or
2. All timely requests for administrative review have been decided and, if ordered to do so, the hearing officer has issued a revised decision.*

*See § 7.2(e) below for special rules regarding final hearing decisions in discharge hearings where the hearing officer orders reinstatement of an employee represented by an attorney.

§ 7.2(e) Special Rules for Discharge Hearings Where the Hearing Officer Orders Reinstatement of an Employee Represented by an Attorney

Attorneys' fees are not available under the grievance procedure, **with one exception**: an employee who is represented by an attorney licensed by the Virginia State Bar, and who substantially prevails on the merits of a grievance challenging their discharge, is entitled to recover reasonable attorneys' fees, unless special circumstances would make an award unjust. For such an employee to "substantially prevail" in a discharge grievance, the hearing officer's decision must contain an order that the agency reinstate the employee to their former (or an equivalent) position.²⁷ The amount of recoverable attorneys' fees shall not exceed the maximum amounts provided on EDR's website. A separate maximum amount will be established for attorneys located in Northern Virginia.

In such a case, counsel for the grievant shall ensure that the hearing officer receives, within 15 calendar days of the issuance of the initial decision ordering reinstatement, counsel's petition for reasonable attorneys' fees. The petition shall include an affidavit itemizing services rendered, time billed for each service, and the hourly rate charged in accordance with the *Rules for Conducting the Grievance Hearings*. A copy of the fees petition must be provided to the opposing party at the time it is submitted to the hearing officer. The agency may contest the fees petition by providing a written rebuttal to the hearing officer.

²⁷ An employee who may not be reinstated to their previous position due to its abolishment through the layoff process may still be entitled to attorneys' fees.

If neither party timely requests an administrative review, the hearing officer must issue an addendum to the decision denying or awarding, in part or in full, the fees requested in the petition, and should do so no later than 15 calendar days from the conclusion of the applicable appeal period.

If either party has timely requested an administrative review as described in § 7.2(a), all administrative reviews must be issued (including any reconsidered decision by the hearing officer) before the hearing officer issues the fees addendum. The hearing officer should issue the fees addendum within 15 calendar days of the issuance of the last of the administrative review decisions, or, if ordered, any related revised hearing decision.

Within 10 calendar days of the issuance of the fees addendum, either party may petition EDR for a ruling solely addressing whether the fees addendum complies with this *Manual* and the *Rules for Conducting Grievance Hearings*. Once EDR issues a ruling on the propriety of the fees addendum, and if ordered by EDR, the hearing officer has issued a revised fees addendum, the original decision becomes “final” as described in § 7.2(d) and may be appealed to the circuit court in accordance with § 7.3(a). The fees addendum shall be considered part of the final decision.

Like all final hearing decisions, a final decision rendered by a hearing officer under this subsection (§ 7.2(e)) shall not be enforceable until the conclusion of any judicial appeals.

§ 7.3 Judicial Review of Final Hearing Decisions

§ 7.3(a) Circuit Court Review

Once an original hearing decision becomes final, either party may seek review by the circuit court on the ground that the final hearing decision is contradictory to law. Neither the hearing officer nor the Department of Human Resource Management (or any employee thereof) shall be named as a party in such an appeal.

An employee does not need EDR’s approval before filing a notice of appeal. However, an agency must request and receive approval from EDR before filing a notice of appeal. To request approval to appeal, an agency must, within 10 calendar days of the final hearing decision, submit a written request to EDR and must specify the legal basis for the appeal, in other words, the basis for its position that the hearing decision is “contradictory to law.” The request for approval to appeal must be ***received by*** EDR within 10 calendar days, which means delivered to, not merely postmarked or placed in the hands of a delivery service. The agency may make its request by email or fax. The agency must provide a copy of its appeal request to the employee. EDR will provide a response within 10 calendar days of the agency’s request.

A notice of appeal must be filed with the clerk of the circuit court in the jurisdiction in which the grievance arose within 30 calendar days of the final hearing decision.²⁸ At the

²⁸ Questions about such appeals should be directed to the clerk of the applicable circuit court.

time of filing, a copy of the notice of appeal must be provided to the other party and EDR.

Within 10 calendar days of receiving notice of an appeal being filed, the agency must forward a copy of the **Grievance Record**, complete with all attachments, to the circuit court in the jurisdiction in which the grievance arose. (The original **Grievance Record** should be kept by the agency.) To receive any contents of the **Hearing Record**, the agency must provide a written request to EDR.

If the agency does not forward the **Grievance Record** to the court, the employee may request the court to issue an order requiring the agency to transmit the record.

Within 30 days of receipt of the **Grievance Record**, the court, sitting without a jury, shall hear the appeal on the record. The court may affirm, reverse, or modify the final hearing decision. The court's decision shall be rendered within 15 days of the court hearing, which shall be at no cost to the parties. The court shall award reasonable attorney's fees and costs to the employee if the employee substantially prevails on the merits of the appeal.

§ 7.3(b) Appeal to the Court of Appeals

Either party may appeal the final decision of the circuit court to the Court of Appeals pursuant to Virginia Code § 17.1-405.

§ 7.3(c) Implementation

Either party may petition the circuit court having jurisdiction in the locality in which the grievance arose for an order requiring **implementation** of the final hearing decision. *See* Va. Code § 2.2-3006(D). The petitioning party must provide the EDR Director a copy of the petition. The court shall award reasonable attorneys' fees and costs to the employee if the employee substantially prevails on the merits of the implementation.

§ 8 Additional Grievance Procedure Rules

NOTE: Words **bolded** appear in the definition section.

§ 8.1 Publication of Hearing Decisions, Rulings, and Related Court Opinions

EDR will publish rulings and hearing decisions on its website in a manner that seeks to preserve privacy. EDR may also publish related court opinions in full. See also EDR's Publication Policy on its website.

§ 8.2 Documentation Relating to a Grievance

Absent just cause, all documents relating to the management actions or omissions grieved shall be made available, upon request from a party to the grievance, by the opposing party. (Once a grievance has been initiated, an employee's request for documents relating to their grievance, pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA"), shall also be treated by the agency as a request for documents under the grievance procedure.)

Upon receipt of such a request, a party shall have a duty to search its records to ensure that, absent just cause, all such relevant documents are provided. All such documents must be provided within five workdays of receipt of the request. If it is not possible to provide the requested documents within the five-workday period, the party must, within five workdays of receiving the request, explain in writing why such a response is not possible, and produce the documents no later than 10 workdays from the receipt of the document request.

If responsive documents are withheld due to a claim of irrelevance and/or “just cause” (“just cause” may include, but is not limited to, undue burden and legal privilege),²⁹ the withholding party must provide the requesting party with a written explanation of each claim, no later than 10 workdays from the receipt of the document request. If a document does not exist, the requesting party shall be informed of its nonexistence. The party requesting the documents has the option of demanding, in writing, that the grievance process temporarily halt until the documents are provided. (An employee’s demand shall be presented to the agency’s Human Resources Office or the current member of management with whom the grievance is pending (for example, a step respondent).)

Documents pertaining to non-parties that are relevant to the grievance shall be produced in such a manner as to preserve the privacy of the individuals not personally involved in the grievance. A party shall not be required to create a document if the document does not exist. The party requesting the documents may be charged a reasonable amount not to exceed the actual cost to retrieve and duplicate the documents.

Documents obtained under the grievance procedure are to be used for grievance purposes only. Improper use of documents by a party could result in disciplinary action under the *Standards of Conduct*.

If a party’s document request is denied by the other party prior to the appointment of a hearing officer, then the requesting party may seek relief from EDR pursuant to the rules for Party Noncompliance. See “Party Noncompliance,” § 6.3. After a hearing officer is appointed, relief should be sought from the hearing officer. See “Authority of the Hearing Officer,” § 5.7. In hearings in which both parties are represented by attorneys: Before requesting the hearing officer to address alleged noncompliance concerning a document request, an attorney must in good faith confer or attempt to confer with the attorney for the opposing party in an effort to resolve the dispute without the hearing officer’s or

²⁹ In some contexts, “just cause” could also include applicable law, regulation, and/or policy language prohibiting the disclosure of certain records. However, notwithstanding general limitations on the disclosure of selection documentation, see DHRM Policy 2.10, *Hiring*, a grievant may have access to certain relevant selection materials in a grievance about a selection process in which they competed. For example, when relevant, a grievant might be able to obtain copies of the results of applicant screening and/or interview notes for the successful applicant, with personally identifying information redacted appropriately. To the extent the parties do not agree on what documents are subject to disclosure under the particular factors in a given grievance, a compliance ruling can be requested from EDR. See *Grievance Procedure Manual* § 6.3.

EDR's action. Failure to do so may be grounds to have the attorney's noncompliance request denied.

§ 8.3 Computation of Time

In computing any period of time required by the grievance procedure, the day of the event from which the designated period of time begins to run shall not be included.

Example: If a step respondent receives the Grievance Form A from an employee on Tuesday, then Wednesday is considered the first of the five workdays in which the step respondent must respond to the grievance. Assuming a normal workweek (Monday through Friday), then Wednesday is counted as day 1 and the response from the step respondent will be due on the following Tuesday, day 5.

If the end of a calendar-day period by which a party must take action falls on a Saturday, Sunday, or legal holiday or on any day or part of a day on which the state office where the grievance is to be filed is closed during normal business hours, the party may take that action on the next business day that is not a Saturday, Sunday, legal holiday, or day on which the state office is closed.³⁰

See "Time Requirements for Filing a Grievance," § 2.2, and "Administrative Review of Hearing Decisions," § 7.2.

§ 8.4 Extension of Timeframes and Other Modifications

Upon mutual agreement, parties to a grievance may extend all pre-qualification time limits including, but not limited to, the 30-calendar-day grievance initiation requirement. After a hearing officer is assigned, a request for postponement of the hearing must be directed to the hearing officer.

In addition, upon mutual agreement, the parties to a grievance may modify other pre-qualification rules during the management resolution steps. Possible modifications could include, but are not limited to: (1) conducting the second-step meeting other than in-person; (2) substituting a step respondent; or (3) eliminating a step in the management resolution steps. To be enforceable, ALL EXTENSION AND MODIFICATION AGREEMENTS BETWEEN THE PARTIES MUST BE IN WRITING.

§ 8.5 Consolidation of Grievances

Prior to the appointment of a hearing officer, multiple grievances may be treated by the agency in a joint manner, without a ruling from EDR. For instance, if the agency so elects, the parties would address two or more grievances at any given management step after which the step respondent could issue a single response that addresses the issues and relief raised in each of the grievances. However, only EDR can consolidate multiple grievances for a single hearing. Accordingly, if a hearing officer has not yet been appointed and more than one grievance is pending involving the same: (1) factual

³⁰ Cf. Va. Code § 1-210(E).

background and (2) issues or policies, either party may request EDR consolidate the grievances for hearing purposes. The opposing party must be provided a copy of any such request. EDR strongly favors consolidation and will grant consolidation for hearing purposes unless there is a persuasive reason to process the grievances individually. EDR may consolidate grievances for hearing without a request from either party. After a hearing officer has been appointed, EDR will grant requests for consolidation for hearing only where it substantially serves the interests of efficiency and would not unduly burden either party.

§ 8.6 Leave and Reimbursement

Employees who are grievants, witnesses, or representatives of grievants in a grievance initiated under the state Grievance Procedure will be granted reasonable time, including reasonable travel time, as Civil and Work-Related Leave to participate in grievance proceedings.

Grievants, witnesses, and representatives of grievants may use Civil and Work-Related Leave to attend management step meetings and all hearings related to the grievance. Whenever reasonably possible, agencies should reschedule employees who regularly work the evening or night shift to a day shift schedule during the periods when they are requested to appear in grievance proceedings or related court hearings. Agency management may establish reasonable limits to Civil and Work-Related Leave for employees representing grievants.

Civil and Work-Related Leave will be granted to employees for the following:

1. To prepare as grievant for the grievance procedure. Agencies may establish reasonable limits for this use of Civil and Work-Related Leave to prevent abuse of state time.
2. To meet with agency Human Resource representatives and/or with EDR regarding a matter grieved or related to a work matter affecting the employee for which a grievance has not been filed.
3. To meet with the Department of Human Resource Management for the purpose of discussing work problems related to violations of the state's Equal Employment Opportunity Policy (DHRM Policy 2.05).
4. To participate in activities and proceedings of EDR's statewide mediation program.

Civil and Work-Related Leave is not available for employees to meet with attorneys.

An employee's reasonable costs for transportation, meals, and lodging are to be reimbursed in accordance with state travel regulations.

See DHRM Policy 4.05, Civil and Work-Related Leave.

§ 8.7 Reasonable Accommodations for Disabled Persons

The agency must provide reasonable accommodations for disabled persons participating in the grievance process.

§ 8.8 Use of Agency Office Equipment

Grievances are official business. Therefore, in conjunction with a grievance, grievants and advocates who are state employees may make reasonable use of agency equipment including computers and networks, copiers, fax machines, and telephones.

§ 8.9 Identifying Step Respondents

Each agency must designate individuals to serve as respondents in the resolution steps and notify EDR of the designations. If the agency seeks to change the designation of respondents, the agency must make such a request to EDR. The agency's proposed change will not be effective until approved by EDR. Step-respondent designations are listed on EDR's website.

§ 8.10 Electronic Transmission of Grievance Materials

Electronic means (for example, email, including scanned materials) may be utilized by all parties to transmit grievance materials to EDR and between the parties, for instance, during the resolution steps. Agencies are required to send ruling requests and requests for the appointment of a hearing officer (Form B), including the applicable Grievance Records, by email (including scanned materials), with a copy to the other party. Email submissions to EDR should be sent to EDR@dhrm.virginia.gov (or, for hearings-related matters, to EDR_Hearings@dhrm.virginia.gov). If a grievant does not have access to email, the agency must provide the grievant with a copy of the agency's emailed requests in a form accessible to the grievant.

§ 8.11 Facilitation

EDR may facilitate a resolution to a grievance and/or the matter(s) related thereto upon the agreement of both parties.

§ 8.12 Reconsideration

A grievance party may request EDR to reconsider a ruling in a timely manner following issuance of a ruling. EDR does not generally reconsider its rulings and will not do so without sufficient cause. For example, EDR may reconsider a ruling containing a mistake of fact, law, or policy where the party seeking reconsideration has no opportunity for appeal. However, clear and convincing evidence of such a mistake is necessary for reconsideration to be appropriate.

§ 9 Definitions

Adverse Employment Action - Any employment action resulting in a tangibly adverse effect on the terms, conditions, or benefits of employment.

Agency Head - The Director, Commissioner, or other appointed head of the state agency or the individual who has been delegated the authority to act for the agency head.

Arbitrary or Capricious - In disregard of the facts or without a reasoned basis.

Attachments - Documents joined with the grievance form in the resolution steps and in the qualification decision.

Back Pay - Retroactive payment of wages, bonuses, leave or other benefits, overtime (if a requisite of the job) and other forms of fixed compensation, as directed by the hearing officer.

Cross-Examination - Questioning by the opposing party to test the truth or further develop the prior testimony of a witness under oath.

Discrimination - Different or hostile treatment based on race, sex, color, ethnic or national origin, religion, sexual orientation, gender identity or expression, age, military status, political affiliation, disability, genetic information, or pregnancy or related medical conditions. See DHRM Policy 2.05, *Equal Employment Opportunity*.

Expedited Process - A shortened process available for grievances challenging separation not considered a “dismissal” (*see* “Dismissal Grievances,” § 2.5), demotions or suspensions without pay, or other actual loss of wages. May also be used in other grievances if both parties agree or if the agency has elected to utilize the process for all or certain categories of grievances.

Grievance - Written complaint on the Grievance Form A stating the management actions and omissions being challenged, the facts in support of the grievance, and the relief requested.

Grievance Record - Consists of all documents intended to be made a part of the grievance by either party during the management resolution steps, including, but not limited to, the Grievance Form A; attachments to the Grievance Form A; written responses by the agency during the management steps; compliance related materials; and procedural memoranda; and the **Hearing Record**, if applicable.

Harass - Action taken to disrupt or impede the operations of government.

Hearing Record - Consists of all documents related to the grievance hearing, including, but not limited to, hearing officer appointment materials and attachments thereto; qualification determinations; recordings of the hearing; exhibits received in evidence; hearing officer decisions (original, reconsideration, and/or addenda); and administrative challenges to the hearing decision and decisions on those challenges.

Implementation - An order issued by the court directing a party to carry out a final hearing decision.

Interim Earnings - Income earned or received in lieu of state salary or other regularly earned compensation including but not limited to unemployment compensation benefits.

Involuntary Separation - Separation which is not of free will; resignation obtained through misrepresentation, deception, duress, coercion, or time pressure.

Just Cause - A reason sufficiently compelling to excuse not taking a required action in the grievance process.

Mediation - Voluntary process through which individuals, with the assistance of mediators, may reach an agreement to resolve work-related issues.

Noncompliance - Failure to follow a grievance procedure rule.

Offers of Proof (also known as “proffers”) - Documents, testimony and other evidence offered but not admitted into the record.

Parties - The employee who initiates the grievance and their employing agency.

Preponderance of the Evidence - Evidence which shows that what is intended to be proved is more likely than not; evidence that is more convincing than the opposing evidence.

Procedural Requirements - Formal procedures deemed essential to ensure fairness in the grievance process.

Retaliation - Adverse employment actions taken by management or condoned by management because an employee participated in an activity recognized as protected in § 4.1(b).

Verbatim - Taken word by word but not necessarily transcribed.

Workday - Normal work schedule (excluding authorized leave time) for the individual responsible for taking the required action.

Written Notice - Formal written disciplinary action taken under the *Standards of Conduct*.